

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/599,690	06/22/2000	Thomas J. Perkowski	100-035USA000	7979	
7590 02/10/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Thomas J Perkowski Esquire PC			BROWN, TIMOTHY M		
Soundview Plaza 1266 East Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Stamford, CT 06902			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* *	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/599,690	PERKOWSKI, THOMAS J.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Tim Brown	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	app ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the rirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatule. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1						
/	<ul> <li>☑ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ul>					
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und						
Disposition of Claims		v.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form F1O-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ı list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interviev	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si Paper No(s)/Mail Date October 17, 2003.	B/08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1648

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Final Office Action is responsive to Applicant's amendment and reply submitted October 17, 2003.

#### Oath/Declaration

The declaration is objected to because the claim of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 improperly references application number 09/284,197. Application number 09/284,197 shares no common inventor with the present application. Appropriate correction is requested.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer mailed October 17, 2003 has been received. The processing of this terminal disclaimer is ongoing. It will be entered upon the determination that it complies with the relevant provisions of the MPEP. Accordingly, claims 7-12 are provisionally rejected under the doctrine of nonstatutory double patenting.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1648

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 7-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,918,214 (hereinafter "patent claims"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-6 are directed to an obvious embodiment of the patent claims.

The patent claims are directed to a system for using UPNs to access product information over the Internet. The system features a URL/UPN storage means for storing a plurality of URLs symbolically linked to a plurality of UPNs (see US 5,918,214 at col. 15, lines 57-64). Claims 1-6 relate to a system and method for generating and storing a plurality of URL/UPN data links. Because a URL/UPN data link must be generated before it can be recorded, it would have been obvious to develop the presently claimed means for generating URL/UPN data links.

## Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to for failing to provide antecedent basis for "said second Internet-enabled information server" recited in line 12 of page 4 of Applicant's reply.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/599,690

Art Unit: 1648

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 7, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hudetz et al. (US 5,978,773 (hereinafter "Hudetz").

Regarding claim 7, Hudetz discloses an Internet based a product information management and delivery system comprising:

a local relational database capable of storing UPNs, trademarks, product descriptors and URLs, wherein the local relational database is operatively connected to the Internet (Abstract, lines 4-6; col. 5, line 17);

a data processing module having Internet browsing capabilities and CPIR function (Fig. 1, char. 30; Abstract, line 4; col. 12, lines 39-46);

an electronic data transport apparatus capable of transmitting data including UPN information and trademarks (Abstract, lines 5-8);

a first Internet server (Fig. 1, chars. 24 and 26; col. 5, lines 48-54);

a second Internet database server<sup>1</sup> capable of receiving and processing requests from a client computer wherein said request may include UPN, trademark and product description data (col. 5, lines 48-54); and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is assumed for purposes of examination that Applicant intended line 4, page 4 to recite "a second Internet-enabled database server."

Art Unit: 1648

a Web-based computer capable of remotely managing data on said local relational database wherein the remotely managed data may include URLs, UPNs and product data (col. 12, lines 61-64).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz in view of Reisman (US 5,694,546).

Hudetz teaches all the limitations discussed above. Hudetz does not expressly teach an EDI engine for importing data such as trademark and UPN information. However, Reisman teaches a server having an EDI gateway connection wherein the EDI gateway connects the server "to a large number of different merchant processors anywhere in the world." Col. 25, lines 21-27. At the time of Applicants' invention, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill, to modify Hudetz to include Reisman's gateway server. As disclosed in Reisman, this would provide Hudetz with the ability to receive merchant information from merchants having varied network and hardware configurations.

Art Unit: 1648

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-0773. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1648

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tim Brown Examiner Art Unit 1648

tb

Jeffrey A. Smith